

All diving

Diving - 30-Day Barrier Review

BA-DIV-002

Purpose: Completed by the Client Representative with the Client Diving SME every 30 days after readiness gate and issued to the accountable manager. Required by both diving risk assessments where a campaign exceeds 30 days. Applies to surface supplied and saturation operations.

Frequency: Every 30 days | **Applies to:** All diving | **Response set:** Yes / Partial / No / N/A, with a note and evidence against each item

Basis: publicly available industry guidance, principally IMCA and IOGP. This framework does not replace the diving contractor's safety management system, the client's own risk assessment, or applicable national legislation. | **Complete online at** barrierassurance.com

#	Question	Guidance and reference	Y / P / N / NA
1	Have all the pre-mobilisation items remained closed, or has something changed?	Equipment, personnel, procedures, vessel or worksite. Name what changed in the comment. Ref: IOGP 411 (recommended practices for diving operations) · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative)	
2	Is the Contractor completing its self-verification plan, and has its own 30-day barrier review been done?	Ask for the evidence, not the plan. Ref: IOGP 411 (recommended practices for diving operations) · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative)	
3	Are any barriers currently degraded, and is there a recovery plan and a compensating measure for each?	List them in the comment. A degraded barrier without a compensating measure is a stop. Ref: IOGP 411 (recommended practices for diving operations) · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative)	
4	Have all trigger events been closed out, with the affected barriers re-verified before diving resumed?	Any incident, near miss, stop work, equipment failure or limit exceedance. Ref: IOGP 411 (recommended practices for diving operations) · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative)	
5	Have trends been reviewed from observation cards, near misses, non-conformances and drill performance?	A checklist that never finds anything is a checklist nobody is really doing. Update the checklists where a trend calls for it. Ref: IOGP 411 (recommended practices for diving operations)	
6	Have the emergency arrangements been re-tested and are they still valid?	Contacts, receiving facility, medical cover, evacuation route, and the hyperbaric evacuation plan for saturation. Ref: IMCA D014; IMCA R020 (ROV intervention) · IMCA D014; DMAC guidance · IMCA D052 (hyperbaric evacuation); IOGP 478; IMCA D053, D063	
7	Is compliance with the marine vessel risk assessment still confirmed for the vessel?	Station keeping, mooring and vessel verification actions. Both diving risk assessments depend on these barriers. Ref: IMCA D010 (DP vessels for diving); IMCA M103 · IMCA M103; IMCA D024	
8	Is the lifting risk assessment still satisfied where lifting affects the dive spread?	A named action in the saturation risk assessment and relevant wherever lifting takes place near diving. Ref: IMCA D060; IMCA D024 · IMCA D060 (lifting operations); IMCA D007 (overboard scaffolding)	
9	Has the assurance documentation remained valid - assurance checklists, DESIGN audits and the Diving Assurance Footprint?	Check the validity dates rather than the presence of the document. Ref: IMCA D014; IMCA D050 (minimum gas quantities) · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative) · IMCA D024 (DESIGN, saturation)	
10	Does anything in the scope, equipment or personnel require a new risk assessment or management of change?	New procedures, new energy sources, new worksite or new simultaneous operations. Ref: IMCA D044 (isolation and intervention); IMCA D006 (live pipelines) · IMCA D014; IOGP 411 · IOGP 411; IOGP 431 (worksite representative)	